G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1896 – 1897

~~191~~

(L. R. . .).

File No. 8.

Serial Nos. 1 – 12.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 12

M. ~~Part~~ B for

SUBJECT.

Cultivation in the bed of the Kodagihalli Tank in the Kunigal Taluk

---

Considered M.V.R.

Ordered

W D 68—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Explanation with reference to Cr's remarks dt 20-11-96

subd

This petn No 1154 of 96 was received in this office on 5-10-96. It was abstd on 26/10/96 as the interval was days are holidays. The same was subd to Asst Comr on 27/10/96 and order was passed to return the petn. The work as per above order was put up by the Drafting clerk on 13/11/96 and subd to the Asst Secretary for approval. The original order was not issued as the same was modified by the Asst Secretary's order of 16/11/96 and the petn was subd to 2nd cr on 19-11-96 according to the Secretary's orders.

18/12/96.

Submitted
27/10

1896 SLI

No. 1154

Name of Petitioner Jawara and other inhabitants of

Village Kodagahalli

Taluk Kunigal

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 5-10-96

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 1

ABSTRACT.

The Petition is in English.

M.V.

26/10/96

Petition not sufficiently clear as to what accompanied Ryot's Copy of the D.C's Order Refusing

B.R.

28/10/96

Sent put up

B.Bn.

13/11

N.B. Where any Arzis are forwarded for disposal without Ryot's copy 20/11/96

As a general representation this is on proper stamp.

In paras 3 & 8 Petr says that no reply was given to their several petitions by the Dy Comm^r

Petr are all marksmen & the Petn is in English. Whether they made his respon- sible for the imputation against the Amildar in para VI is not clear

Submitted to the Secretary

20/11

Called for the allegation of the D.C. with regard to the Cane cultivation

The case relates to that Cane has been given away for cultivation that the late Amildar must be heard before in this matter & that the several petitions addressed to the Dy Commr must be called. This may be sent to the Dy

Mr. Nanjundiah
Advocate for Petr
20-11-96

Live also dispatches

WBn
23-11

J 30. 9.96.
Bangalore

Amurtoor

Dated 25th September 96

1154

PETITION DEPARTMENT
No. 1154 R
5 — 10 — 1896

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue
Departments.
Bangalore.

Myhert
Tenders
With an one
Rupee rebel
Stamp attached
Sd.
5/10/96

Most respected Sir,

We, the undersigned and the inhabitants of Kodagahally village, Amurtoor hobli, Kunigal Taluk in Tumkur district, most respectfully beg your honor to take into your kind consideration the following few lines.—

Our Kodagahally is a large village containing a population of about 1500 whose livelihood is entirely based upon cultivating purposes. In order to carry out the same, your honor knows well, cattle, sheep, and goats are quite

cattle, 400 Sheep and 200 goats.

II To the western direction of the Said village, there is a Shallow tank whose water is constantly used for — drinking purposes of the villagers and those of cattle, Sheep, and goats. Moreover the tank has two Sluices and commands nearly 300 acres of wet lands and 50 acres of garden. The Government have, every year, been collecting about Rs 2000. Kandayam being the amount of assessment from the landholders below the tank. Water is constantly flowing from both the Sluices throughout the whole year. The tank is, — therefore, one of the most important ones in the District.

III In the month of October last, one Venkatagowda, Patail of Amurtoor, one Thimmegowda bin Javaregowda of Kodagahally, one Thimmé

Seccuntiah and one Ganigara
Chikkasetty applied for for culti
vating purposes a few acres of
land in the bed of the above
tank. Within one months period
after their Darkhast, We came to
understand that the lands were
applied and so requested the Deputy
Commissioner of Tumkur district
through a petition that the land-
applied for, in the bed of ~~the~~ tank
should not be granted for cultivation,
Since it is a Supporter of Thousands
of cattle for which we are not-
fortunate enough to be favored
with a reply and even now we doubt
of the fact whether the land in
question has been granted or not.

II The tank being Situated just
in the centre of a few bordering
villages, viz Amartoor, Yachanahally,
Shivanahalli, Subramakere, Devara-

Hosahally, Mullapura, Sundaykota, Mantya, and Holalgunda, the cattle, Sheep and goats of all the above mentioned villages go to the bed of Kodagahally Tank and graze there drinking the water therein. If the land were to let for cultivation the cattle of the said villages have Seriously to Suffer and we will no more be husbandman.

V As above Stated, the tank is very Shallow and if the bed of the tank were granted for cultivation, Several feeder channels and canals will be dug in the middle of the tank and the dirts and other "Gakkalu" of these canals wash away themselves to the tank, and within a period of 2 years the tank will be levelled to the ground the ruin of which

now, the said Potail Venkategowda and others have opened a few canals in the bed of the tank and they strongly oppose our cattle &c which ordinarily go there for grazing.

VI. Mr. V. Parthasarathi Iyengar the then Amildar of Kunigal Taluk and who is now in charge of Holkalmuru Taluk in Chitaldroog district, received some bribe from Potail Venkategowda, Siriyegowda bin Javaregowda and others and reported that the villagers do, not at all, raise any objection to the grant of the land. One — Thyamagondlu Subbiya Sheikdar of Amrtoor Hobli, bundled up a — false Correspondence in favor of the grant of the lands which we are not at all being given to understand even in our dreams.

bed of the tank, we came to understand that they are intended for cultivation.

VII. The land, in question was in the year 1891 applied for for cultivation by one Sri Kadalagiri Shama Iyengar a native of Anantur and Sri M. Krishna Rao, the then Amildar in charge of Kunigal Taluk inspected the land and considering the ruinous condition of the tank, if the applied land is granted, rejected the darkhast of the said individual. Sri B. K. Venkatavarda Iyengar who was the then Deputy Commissioner of the district concurred with the worthy opinion of the Amildar. If the Government are solely based upon the collection of Revenue even under such items without caring for the good of the public, we

Kandayem which will be [illegible]
from the Khatadars in proportion
to our cattle.

VIII From the Commencement of
the period the land was applied for,
we represented our grievances and
difficulties in about 5 reminding
petitions to the Deputy Commissioner
of the district for which we were
not fortunate enough to be favored
with a reply.

IX Now, we, therefore, request
that your honor will be kind
enough to request the Deputy-
Commissioner to personally inspect
the Condition of the tank and to
cancel the Sanction if the land
is already granted. Otherwise
we have no means to feed our
cattle and thereby are obliged
to quit away from the village.
In case of our being favored an

will not be in a possession of its receipt owing to villainy of our Shekdar. So we beg to enclose herein postage labels worth two annas being the amount of registration fee. The Cover we humbly request your honor, Should be addressed to the first name who has affixed his Signature hereunder.

We beg to remain

Most Respected Sir

Your most obedient and humble Servants

1896

No. 14460.

Name of Petitioner Ryots.

Village Kodagahalli.

Taluk Kunigal.

District Bangalore.

Date of Arzi 6th November/96

Date of Receipt 10th November/96

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil.

ABSTRACT.

Pray that the grant of 20 acres of land in the bed of Kodagahalli Tank, D. No. 2, Venkatigowdah and others may be cancelled — as they pray for by them already, in their Petition of 25.9.96.

The Petition being in English — the details of which are not given in the abstract.

No. 1446 By hand registered HR, Lo 10/11/96

Kodagahally

Dated 6th November 1896.

One Rupee Stamp has been attached to the previous petition on the Subject

Rajyadhurandharan Sir

K. Sheshadri Iyer Esqr.

K.C.S.I.

Dewan Bahadur

of Mysore Province

Bangalore

MYSORE REVENUE OFFICE. PETITION DEPARTMENT. READ. No. 1446R DATE 11-11-96

Through the Secretary

To the Govt of Mysore

General and Revenue Depts

Bangalore.

Most respected Sir,

In continuation of our petition dated 25th September 1896, addressed to the Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, General & Revenue Departments, we, the undersigned and the inhabitants of Kodagahally village, Amuntur Hobli, Kunigal Taluk, Tumkur District, most respectfully beg your honor to take into your kind Consideration the following few lines:—

to the Government, General & Revenue Departments, we represented all our grievances and difficulties under which we are placed owing to the grant of 3 for cultivation purposes, 20 acres of Karab land out of 82 acres and 39 guntas from Survey No: 133 which is reserved by the Government for the bed of the tank of Kodagahally village.

2. As stated in our former petition, one Potail Venkata Gowda, one Thimégowda bin Javarégowda and Thimégowda bin Kalégowda who say that they are permitted by the Government to cultivate 20 acres of Karab land in the bed of the tank of the said village, have dug up several canals in different parts of the bed of the tank and water which, hitherto, used to flow from Holulgunda to Store up of our village tank is prevented from flowing. So, all the "Gyakkalu" which is gathered in the above canals washed away themselves to the bed of the tank and the same will be

period of 2 years.

3. As a matter of fact, if the Kunigal Doddkere tank is entirely filled up, water is supplied to the several tanks in the Taluk in the following order (1) From the Kunigal Dodakere tank the lands in Chottana hally hanta are to be irrigated. (2) Then the lands in Nédé Mavinapurada hanta, Mathikéré hanta, (3) Then the surplus water flows into Holulgunda tank where it has to irrigate about 500 acres of land and the remaining water hardly flows into the tank of Kodagahally which has now become a prey to great disaster, since the 20 acres of Kharab land from the bed of the said tank is granted for cultivating purposes and the career of which is about to be ended within a period of 2 years. Moreover the tank in question which contains 2 Sluices has to command 130 acres of wet land and 50 acres of garden

runs to Amurture Doddakéré and Chikkakéré tanks which also — Command about thousands of acres of both wet lands and gardens and thence water runs to the minor tanks of Hosakéré, Jimagara, Lanaba and Keelara respectively. If the water running into the tank in question, is prevented, the other tanks viz of Amurtur, Hosakéré, Jimagara, — Lanaba and Keelara have to suffer seriously and there will be a reduction of Lame thousands of Rupees in the annual collection of Government Revenue under the said tanks. Hence the necessity of the complaint to the grant of 20 acres of kanab land for cultivating purposes in the bed of the tank in question.

4. Moreover, the great disaster to which our cattle and sheep and those of 12 bordering villages viz Hallapura, Hosapura, Hosahally Holalgunde, Kudahally, Devarayana

hully, Yachamahully, Sondayikoppa
and Bhuvanapalya, have befallen, is
indescribable. The said individuals
who are supposed to hold the lands
in the bed of the tank in question
do, not at all, allow our cattle to the
tank even to drink water therein.
Now owing to our misfortune the
raining season also deceived us at
its timely course. If the above
individuals also were, hereafter, in
the same manner, to prevent our
cattle and sheep from going to
the tank there will, in a short
time be a total destruction of our
cattle.

5. The records which is ficticiously
bundled up to the grant of karab
lands in question, by Shyamagondlu
Subbayya, Sheikdar of Amarthur hobli
is entirely based upon his questionable
character, who extracted some Rupees
200 bribe from the said individuals

we hope that your honor will be kind enough to order the cancellation of the grant of 20 acres of karab land in the bed of the tank, even if it is already granted for cultivation

We beg to remain
Most respected Sir

Your most obedient and humble
Servants.

7th Dec. 96

[illegible]

A. [illegible]

Put up with draft of Today paper [illegible] letter to Govt [illegible]

[illegible] 1 [illegible]

- [illegible]
2 [illegible]
3 [illegible] X
4 [illegible]
5 [illegible]
6 [illegible]
7 [illegible]
8 [illegible]
9 [illegible]
10 [illegible]
11 [illegible]
12 [illegible]
13 [illegible]
14 [illegible]

[illegible] 1896 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

7 [illegible]

I [illegible]

I [illegible]

I [illegible]

+ ಕಂಪನಿಯನ್ನಾಗಿ ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ
+ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯು ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಲಿಮಿಟೆಡ್ ಕಂಪನಿಯಾಗಿ ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ

194

B

Putonk with
papers + draft of my wife
letter to first. Answ
letter today. 9/11/96

9-12-96.

(A)

26-11-96

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition

Registered No. 1154 dated 25th September 96

received at the Dewan's Office from

Javara & Ors of Kadagahalli Kunigal Taluq, complaining that lands under the village tank are about to be given away for cultivation and requesting that the D.C. may be directed to inspect the tank personally and cancel the grant of the lands thereunder if already ~~made~~ sanctioned,—

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ ~~enclosure,~~ to the Deputy Comr of the Tumkur District for a brief report of the case.

2. The D.C's attention is directed to the serious allegations made against Amildar Parthasarathi Iyengar

By order,

24/11/96

for Secretary.

BANGALORE,

24/11/1896

B.L. 24/11

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition Registered No. 1446 dated 6-11-96 received at the Dewan's Office from the ryots of Kadagatally in the Kunigal Sh, complaining that the land in the bed of their village tank may not be granted to Patel Venkatagowda and others for cultivation purposes

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosure~~, to the Dy Commr Tumkur Dt in continuation of this office No. Pet-dated 24-11-96 calling for a brief report ~~for early submission~~ of the case.

(2) ~~The~~ His Petition ~~must~~ also should be returned

By order,

for Secretary.

BANGALORE, 189

Fee 5/12

(5) SLS

1896 Gl. No. 3979
Pet 345
14 DEC. 96

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kimkur District.

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore, General
and Revenue Departts

Dated 11th December 1896

Despatched 12th Do

Received

General No. 524
No.

Enclosure No. 4

His official memo Cro's
Pet 770 dated the 24th ultimo
and 860 dated 7th instant

—m—

Reporting on the petitions
of Javara and others of
Kodagahalli in the
Kunigal Taluk.

—

Submitted with reference to the Revenue Council Nos order on the above hall of petn 11574 of 5.10.96.

19/12

Subd to the 2nd Councillor

Re Dy Commr reports that Petnrs sent him for report is pseudonymous and does not deserve notice.

21/12/96.

Recd 6 P.M. 21/12/96.

Recd 22/12/96

23-12-96

Pro: dropped Notice 24.12.96

Pet. 14/12/96

Recd 15/12

Subs with refces.

18/12

No: 524
Rec: 4

Tumkur District,
Deputy Commissioner's Office.
Dated 11th December 1896.

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your official memoranda nos. 770 dated the 24th ultimo and 860 dated 7th instant forwarding for report petitions from Janara and others of Kodagahalli in the Kunigal Taluk, protesting against the temporary grant of a portion of Survey no: 133 of that village for purposes of cultivation, I have the honor to report as follows:-

2. Survey no: 133 measuring 82 acres and 39 guntas is shown in the accounts as the bed of the Kodagahalli

2/a

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Kodagahalli Tank. Out of this no: about 30 acres are said to be on a higher level than the rest, and are reported to have never been under water even when the tank surplused. This portion adjoins the wet lands of the neighbouring village of Kolalgunda and is reported to be capable of being irrigated from the water of that tank. For about nine acres out of this portion of survey no: 133, three Dar Khasts were received in July 1895. Reporting upon these Dur Khasts in August 1895 when the Kodagahalli tank was full and was discharging over its weirs, the Sheikdar and the Amildar, after personal inspection, stated that the portion

Then what is meant by tank-bed?

applied for was not then under water, nor was it likely to be submerged even if the weirs were raised and accordingly ~ recommended its grant for ~ cultivation - Thereupon, I ~ consulted the Executive Engineer on the subject and with his concurrence sanctioned the grant of the land applied for, for ~ temporary cultivation, subject to the condition that it must be ~ given back to Government without any compensation when the weirs of the tank might hereafter be raised - Three sub nos were accordingly marked off; their area amount to 12 acres and 5 guntas. They were assessed at the ~

adjoining

Unprofessional
by Opinion

adjoining wet rate of Rupees 3-6-0 per acre and given over to the three applicants with effect from the year Iranmatha 1895-96.

The total assessment of the 3 sub nos amounts to Rupees 41-0-0

A formal muthchalika has been taken from the men concerned and the temporary and conditional nature of the grant has been duly noted in the Khetwar Patrika.

It is thus clear that the temporary grant of the land in question for purposes of cultivation does not in any way interfere with the storage of water in the tank either for irrigational or drinking purposes. The statements of the Petitioners that their cattle are deprived of the use of the water

9/11/16

water in the tank and of the pasture in the bed is too ∴ vague to deserve any notice - No right of way to the tank is obstructed and the cattle are free to graze upon the remaining portion of the bed. In addition to the bed of the tank, the cattle of the village have ~ gonal extending over an area of 277 acres -

× Bullocks 104
Cows & calves 66
Buffaloes 7
sheep and goats } 430

4. The Inahazar dated 3rd August 1895 which is filed ∴ with the record shews that the villagers had no objection to the grant of the land ∴ in question for cultivation - This Inahazar contains the marks of four of the present petitioners, viz, (1) Janarappa, (2) Gelavina - Kutcha (3) Valékar Janara and

(4)

(4) Adi Shimma - This fact suggests the inference that the writer of the petition has used the names of persons who are not answerable for the sentiments expressed and allegations made therein. Such a petition was also sent to me in which the Sheikdar of the Hobly was accused of having received bribes in connection with the Dar Khasts which are the subject of this report and of having misappropriated certain Government trees. When a local enquiry was made by the Amildar under my orders, such of the ryots as answered to the names given in the Petition denied all knowledge of it, while others could not be found in

in the village at all - It is under these circumstances evident that some one who is not favorably disposed towards the three persons who have obtained the land in question keeps sending petitions to the authorities in the names of innocent persons in the village - The existence of factions in the village accounts for such pseudonymous petitions - The enclosed petitions marked A and B support this Conclusion - Petition A contains the marks of 14 persons, of whom no less than nine have also put their marks to the Petitions addressed to Government - The writers of Petition A disclaim the authorship of the Petitions sent to Government -

5. The allegation made against Amildar Parthasaradiayar in a pseudonymous petition like those under report deserves no notice.

6. The two petitions received with your Memoranda under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir.
Your most obedient servant.

M. Narain Rao
Deputy Commissioner
Cuddapah.

To

(6) & 516

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore

Read:

Letter No. 524 dated the 11th Instant, from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, reporting that the Petn. forwarded ~~by him~~ by him for the post is pseudonymous, & does not disclose name.

46 Petn. 5950/9999. Order thereon.

Bangalore dated 4th Jany/96

Recorded.

~~Regd~~ Order

MR

For Secretary

Draft

CG

To

The Dy. Commr.
Bangalore Distt.

1897

No. 2862

Name of Petitioner Aji Timmappa Lokhandi

Village Kodagahalli (Amalur Hobli)

Taluk Kunigal

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 4-5-97

Date of Receipt 16-3-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 6

ABSTRACT.

Reminder to their petitions of 25-9-96 and 6-11-96 complaining against the fraudulent grant of 1 2/16 - 5 of land out of Survey No 133 of the above village to one Timmappa and thus putting them and their caste to great inconvenience -

Pray that on perusal of all the records the grant of the land may be cancelled

Enclosures

(1) their petition to D. Commr. Tumkur of 23-10-95
(2) do — do — of 23-1-96
(3) do — do — of 26-10-96 with a copy Endt No 1970 of 7/12/96
(4) do — do — of 27-12-96
(5) do — do — of 1-2-97 with Endt. No. 256 of 15-2-97.

3-3-97

3-3-97

To

Dated 4th March 1897

Rajya Dhurandharam

Sir K. Seshadri Iyer

Esquire C. S. I.

Dewan Bahadur of

Mysore State

Bangalore

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
RECD. No. 2802 R
DATE 16-3-97

Received from D.H.O. on 16.3.97.

Hereunto enclosures not sent

2802 16/3/97

Most Respected Sir,

In Submitting, herewith, the copies of marginally noted correspondence between the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District and ourselves and the orders thereon by the Deputy Commissioner, we, the inhabitants of Kodagahally village, Amurtur Hobli of Kunigal Taluk in Tumkur District, most respectfully beg your honor to bring into your honor's kind Consideration, the following few lines hoping to meet with favourable and Successful orders on the Subject

1. The Revenue Survey No: 133 of Kodaga-hally village measuring 82 acres and 39 guntas has been reserved by the Govt

(4) Petition dated 23rd October 1895 addressed to the Dy Commissioner Tumkur Dist

D. dated 23rd January 1896 " "

" dated 24th October 1896 with a Copy of the endorsement No: 198 dated 11-12-96(5) " dated 18th February 1897 with a copy of the endorsement No: 256 dated 27th December 1896 addressed to the Dy Commissioner Tumkur

Government for the [illegible]
of the said village which has two
Sluices Commanding about 130 acres of
wet land and 50 acres of garden. The
annual Kandayam Collectible by the
Government is about Rs 800 which —
evidently shows that the tank is one
of the important ones in the District.
2. In the month of September 1895,
one Thimmé Gowda bin Javaregowda,
one Potail Venkatégowda, one Thimmé
Gowda bin Kalégowda and one Jangama
Bhogayya the natives of Kodagahally
applied to the Taluk Amildar for 12
acres and 5 guntas of Karab land
for wet cultivation out of the said 82
acres and 39 guntas the fact of
which we were not then aware of.
Then the darkhast was sent to Mr.
Shyanagondu Subbayya, Sheikdar of
Amanbur Hobli by the Amildar of the
Taluk for the necessary inspection of
the applied lands and for report.
Thereafter 15 days ago, we the inhabitants
of the village were given to understand
that the Karab land was applied for
for cultivation. Meanwhile Mr.

Myaundagoudu &c.
Extracted some Rupees 200 bribe from
Thimmegowda bin Javaregowda and
other said three individuals to bundle
up, by the influence of Rao V. Partha
Sarathi Iyengar, the then Amildar of
Kunigal Taluk, an entire false and
treacherous Correspondence reporting that
the villagers paise no objection to the
grant of the Karab land applied for.
We, knowing this on the 23d October
1895 petitioned the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District requesting that the
Karab land in question may not be
granted for cultivation, since the same
is a place for rest for our cattle, Sheep
and goats and for those of our twelve
bordering villages. A copy of the
petition is, herewith, submitted for
your honors kind perusal.
3. Now it appears that the Sheikdar
got Sanctioned 12 acres and 5 guntas
of Karab land out of the aforesaid
82 acres and 39 guntas for cultivating
purposes in the bed of the tank.
From time immemorial, the cattle
Sheep and goats of 12 Villages viz

(Kodagahully, Kallapura, Hoe...
Hosapura, Holulgunda, Kadahulli,
Devarayanapalya, Lubbiakere, Kantya,
Chinnahalli, Yachanahulli and
Amirtur) which purposely used to go
to the tank for water owing to the
Scarcity of the Lands in their respective
villages, have been grazing on the
piece of Karab land under question
and thereby used to drink water in
the tank. But it is questionable what
amount of charity Mr. Sheikdar
Subbanja added to the amount of
Stock in dealing in the said charitable
institution.

4. Since the Karab lands in question
viz 12 acres and 5 guntas out of 82
acres and 39 guntas was granted
to the said individuals, the cattle,
Sheep and goats of the above mentioned
villages are entirely prevented from
going to the tank for water and
grazing purposes for the very reason
of which we are placed in a most
lamentable position and so we
have to quit away from our villages.

5. Further, the same 12 acres and

grants of Karab land in the bed of the tank was, in 1891 or so, applied for for cultivation by one Mr. Kaddagiri Shama Iyengar, a native of Amirtur. Mr. B. K. Venkatavarada Iyengar, the then Deputy Commissioner of the District deeply thought over the nature and extent of the Gomal land reserved for our village and those of the applicd lands and personally inspected the Karab land on his tour to Jamabandi purposes and ultimately rejected the Darkhast of Mr. Shama Iyengar. Considering also the well meant Suggestion of Mr. Dr. Krishna Rao, the then Amildar of Kunigal Taluk who had also personally inspected the land and reported that the land in question should, not at all, be granted for cultivation.

6. Though Mr. Sheikdar Subbayya was aware of all these facts and though he is the same man that dealt with the above correspondence, he has dishonestly reported to the Taluk ** the villagers raise no objection

to the grant of the ... applied for.

7. If the Government are entirely based upon the collection of Revenue even under such minor items without caring for the good of the public, we are hereby bound to pay the amount of assessment namely Rupees 41 on the 12 acres and 5 guntas of Karab land under question, which has now become payable yearly by the said Venkatè gowda and others.

8. As detailed in the margin, we represented all our grievances and difficulties to Mr. M. Narain Rao, the Deputy Commissioner, in five reminding petitions for which we regret to State that we unfortunately received a negative reply. The endorsement Nos: 198 and 256 dated 11th December 96 and 5th instant respectively ordered by the Deputy Commissioner to our petitions dated the 24th October 96 and 1st Instant respectively are herewith Submitted for your Honor's Kind perusal.

9. If the Government too were to

away from our village and our cattle Sheep and goats have to Starve.

10. The Subject at hand has been already brought to your honors notice through our two petitions dated 25th September and 6th November 1896 respectively.

11. Under the above circumstances we most humbly request your honor to be kind enough to Examine the relative truths of our representations and the orders of the Deputy Commissioner and finally to order for the Cancellation of the grant of 12 acres and 5 guntas of Karab land under question in the bed of the tank and thus protect your poor and helpless ryots.

We beg to remain

Most Respected Sir

your most obedient and humble Servants

I
H
H
I

- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕರಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಪ್ರಸರಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕರಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಪ್ರಸರಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕರಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಪ್ರಸರಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕರಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಪ್ರಸರಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕರಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಪ್ರಸರಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕರಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಪ್ರಸರಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕರಣೆ
- ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಪ್ರಸರಣೆ

Reference 10/5/97.
Rec'd V.R.S.

13/5

1897 SLO

No. 3279

Name of Petitioner Dimmigowda & other 8 ryots

Village Kodagahulli

Taluk Kunigal.

District Bangalore.

Date of Arzi 1st May 1897.

Date of Receipt 4th May 1897

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT.

Ryots for early orders & their appeal petition dated 5th March 1897.

CL
12/5/97

Submitted with reference to the orders on the previous petn No 2801 of 26-27. Reports

13/5/97

This to be submitted with file No 3 of 1893 (Babu Timmanna's case) in which I have called for certain reports from the D.C. to see if the grant by the D.C. is in any way opposed to GO No 7338-46 R2457.

S 14/2/97 MM 14/5/97

Though the previous petns have been filed, that is no doubt, the grant is not opposed to GO No 7338-46 S 14/2/97 R2457

Sub to Council

MM 19/5/97 draft to MM 26/5/97

Call for report with levels &c of the land

By post 4-5-97 From D. Ho

Ref 3277 10/5/97 To

Dated 1st May 1897.

Court fees Stamp worth two Rupees has been attached to the previous appeal petition on the subject

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
D. No. 3279 R
DATE 10-5-97

Rajya Dhurandarans
Sir. K. Sheshadri Iyer
K. C. S. I.
Dewan Bahadur
Mysore State
Bangalore.

Most respected Sir,

In Continuation of our appeal petition dated 5th March 1897, we, the undersigned and the inhabitants of Kodagahally village Amirtur Hobli of Kunigal Taluk, Tumkur District most respectfully beg your honor to take into your honor's kind Consideration the following few lines:—

2. In our appeal petition dated 5th March 1897 requesting to pass early orders for the cancellation of the grant of 12 acres and 5 guntas of Karab land in the bed of Kodaga

Kodagahally [illegible]
Kunigal Taluk, against the orders of
the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur —
District we have clearly stated that
we, our cattle, Sheep and goats are
put into much inconvenience, and
if early orders are not passed on the
subject, there would soon be a total
destruction of our cattle, Sheep and
goats, we regret to state we have not
yet received any orders on the subject.
3. As a matter of fact, there is now
very little quantity of water in the
tank of Kodagahally village owing to
this Summer Season for which we
have especially become a prey for
the last 3 months. Moreover our cattle,
Sheep and goats have been entirely
prevented from going to the tank even
to drink a little quantity of water
therein, by one Patail Venkategowda
and other 3 individuals of the Kodaga-
-hally village who are said to have
been granted 12 acres and 5 guntas
of Karab land for cultivating purposes
[illegible] the tank. It at all

for water, the same will be taken to the Government cattle pound by the said individuals to add to their stock of charity.

4. In reality, there is no other path to pass through but the piece of Karab land in question for cattle, sheep and goats of our village and other 12 neighbouring villages whose inhabitants also have very frequently to experience water difficulty in their respective villages.

5 Considering all these circumstances and after personal inspection, in the year 1891 or so Rao B.K. Venkatavarda Iyengar, the then Deputy Commissioner of the District rejected the Darkhast of one Rao Madhagiri Shamaiengar who had also applied for, for cultivating purposes, the 12 acres and 5 guntas of Karab land under question. But it is only we are deprived of our rights and liberties owing to the corruption and

and want of true sense of honor
of Mr. V. Parthasarathi Iyengar, the
late Amildar of Kunigal Taluk who,
having no regard to the good of the
public, extracted Rupees 200 bribe —
from the aforesaid Potail Venkate
Gowda and other three individuals to
bundle up a false correspondence by
the influence of Mr. Iyamagondlu
Subbaiya, Sheikdar of Amirtur Hobli
who is at the bottom from very —
commencement of the fictitious —
correspondence.

6. Further, by the annual collection of
Rupees 41 being the amount of
Cowdayam on the 12 acres and 5 —
guntas of kurab land in question,
the Government in no way become
rich enough but on the other hand,
we hope, they care more for the
good of the public than for the
collection of Revenue even under such
trifling items.

7. The subject at hand has been
thrice brought into your honor's notice
(1st through our petition dated 25th —

September 1896, & [illegible] through petition
dated 6th November 1896 and 3rdly through
petition dated 5th March 1897) But
we received an endorsement No: 1154
dated 24th November from your honors
office ordering that our petition had
been sent to the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District for necessary report.
At the same time we are sorry to
State that our cattle have now
extremely experienced water difficulty.

8. Moreover we most respectfully
request your honor to invite on this
occasion your kind attention to
para 10 under Article XX page 6 of
the Mysore Land Revenue Rules
of 1890 under the head "Durkhast
for lands how to be disposed of"
in re the granting beds of tanks
for cultivation.

9. Under these circumstances we
first humbly request your honor
to be kind enough to pass early
orders for the cancellation of

the grant of 12 acres and 3 guntas
of Kurab land in the bed of the
tank of Hoduguhally village
and thus protect your poor and
helpless ryots.

We beg to remain

Most respected Sir,

Your honors most obedient & humble

Servants



1778 MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petitions (x)

Registered ~~No.~~ noted in the margin ~~dated~~

received at the Dewan's Office ~~from~~ from Timmegowda and others residents of Kodagahalli in the Kunigal Taluk, complaining of the grant of certain lands in the bed of their village land to Palla Venkatègowda and others for cultivation purposes, are ~~is~~ forwarded, together with ~~its~~ their enclosures to the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District for a full further report of the facts of the case and on the points urged in the petitions, with special reference to the land objected to be granted.

2. The petition with ~~its~~ enclosures should be returned.

3. The petitions Nos 1154 and 1446 dated 25.9.96 and 6.11.96 respectively returned with his letter No 524 dated 11.12.96 are returned herewith forwarded for reference and report to be submitted with the further report called for in the above

By order,

BANGALORE,

9 - 6 - 1897

Chief Secretary.

for Secretary

9/6/97.

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

(x) 2802 dated 4-3-97.
2 3279 dated 1-5-97.

1 The Dy Comm's attention is drawn to the provisions of Go No 7338-46 dated 14/12/97 and he is requested to state whether the grant of the land in question is in any way objected to by those above circular orders
R 2457

(9)

1897. Pet 15

7 JUL. 97

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore General and Rev. Departments.

Dated 5th July 1897.

Despatched 6th — do —

Received

General No. 16.
No.

Enclosure No. 29 a file
His official Memo No. 1778 Petition
dated 7th ult/mo.

Refers him to this office letter No. 524 dated 11th December 1896 and states that he has nothing to add to the report therein made in the case of Thimé Gowda and other Ryots of Kodagahalli in the Kunigal Taluk.

Peter Pr
7.7.97

Rev. Branch
Please put up the Govt order of Feb 97 referred to in the letter
AK
8/7/97.

Rep 8/7

Peter Pr.
Pro: quoted putup

Rep 9/7

Rep 10/7

Refers are putup.
10/7

Submitted
Rep 12/7/97

The levels show that the land from which the contour of the Kodi level-line has been recently declared to be the tank-bed & the files connected with the case have been recently submitted
But it not clear why the Survey Dept. claims the land as tank-bed
Sub to 2 Councillor

Rd 7 Pm
15/7/97
Mr. Rice, of Copy & the remarks x +
Mr 21/7/97
Mr 15/7/97
Sent Pro. Peter & Addl. 21/7/97

I would refer the matter to Mr. Rice and ask him to report whether the lands in question are Inam lands. If so, what is the nature of the Inam? Have they been enfranchised? If not, why not? Has the Inamdar been called upon to enfranchise? If not, why not? Has the Inamdar been called upon to enfranchise? If not, why not? Has the Inamdar been called upon to enfranchise? If not, why not?

No. 16
Encl: 2 & a file

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District
Tumkur 5th July 1897.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments.

Sir,

In returning the petitions of Thimme Gowda and other ryots of Kodagahalli in the Kunigal Taluk received with your official memo No. 1778/Petition dated 7th ultimo, I have the honor to refer you to my letter No. 524 dated 11th December 1896, and to state that I have nothing to add to the report therein made on the case in question.

2. I Submit herewith the levels taken by the District Sub overseer of the lands Numbers 133/1, 133/2 and 133/3, the grant of which, for temporary cultivation purposes, is objected to by the Petitioners. It will be

be seen therefrom that these lands

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

are at some points as much as 7.60 feet higher than the Kodi level of the tank. I do not see why the Government should not derive a temporary revenue from such high-lying lands in the bed of a tank. The Amildar of the Taluk who saw the lands when the Tank in question was discharging did not find them submerged.

3. The complaint of the Petitioners is, as already reported, the outcome of ill-will between the present holders of the lands and the Petitioners.

4. If the temporary grant of the lands in question for cultivation be considered illegal, and opposed to standing orders, it will be entered in the list of such cases called for

in

in Government Proceedings No. 7338–46
R 21451
dated 14th. February 1897.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

your most obedient servant

M Narain Rao
Deputy Commissioner
Et.

Lr. 1154, 1446, 2802 — 26-7-97

6 SM II

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 133 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 25-9-96, 6-11-96, 4-3-97 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

4-3-97 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1897 [illegible]

[illegible] — H.O.

For Secy.

P.T.O

No. 1096 / P.130 & 24.7.97.

Copy forwarded to the Dy. Commissioner Trichinopoly Division together with the papers received with his letter No. 46 of 5th July 1897 with a request that the Dy. Commissioner will be good enough to include the lands referred to in the list ~~of~~ enclosed ~~by~~ in Pro. No. 7338-46 / R.2451 of 4th February 1897 ~~the~~ matter will then again receive consideration.

H.O.

For Secy

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Bangalore, 8th March 1897.

No. 1351

SIR

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore, P. W. D.

Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to Tumkur District Deputy Commissioner's No. 524 dated 11th December 1896 to your address, regarding certain lands given out for cultivation in the bed of Kodigenahalli Tank in Kunigal Taluk, I have the honor to forward for disposal, the enclosed petition addressed to me, also this office file of correspondence on the subject, for perusal and obliging returns.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. Haven. Colonel.

Chief Engineer.

of Mysore P. W. D.

Mrl

11

1898 R 3934

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No. 1351

From

The Chief Engineer,

Mysore P. W. D.

Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore Genl & Rev. Depts.

Dated Bangalore, 8th March 1898.

Despatched

Enclosures No. { 1 file. 1 file. 1 Petition with 5 letters }

Subject.

Forwarding for disposal a petition regarding lands given out for cultivation in the bed of Kodigenahalli Tank in Kunigal Taluk.

Rept
Mr
Rev
10.3.98

Where is the ref quoted herein? DS 12/3/98

Rec'd 12/3

6388

Rec'd 5/12

From The Executive Engineer Trichinopoly Division.

To The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Regd No. 5-633 d/2-11-96

Dated 25th October 1896.

Despatched

Received

General No. 359
No.

Enclosure No. one.

Returning the Chief Engineers endorsement No 5432 dated 9-10-96 regarding the cultivation of some lands above the Todaganlivelly Tank Regd No 78 Tenugal Palaiyam and requesting to be informed on what points he has to report in the case.

Send back to E.E. and ask him to report on the points raised by the petitioners regarding the Tank Sluice and to note generally what sluicing repairs the tank is in and whether the fields and cultivation on such land as stated in this Office will then be in a position to address the Govt fully in the matter

DJ
29-10-

Despd 29/10

Tumkur Division

No 359
12

Dated 25th October 1896

From,

The Executive Engineer
Tumkur Division

To.

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore P.W.D.

Sir,

I have the hono to return your endorsement No 5432 Dt 9.10.96 regarding the cultivation of some lands above the Kodgenhalli Tank Regd No 78 Kunigal Taluk.

The tank is in topo sheet No 55 at a Latitude of 12° 56' and Longitude of 76° 68' 30".

The ryots say that some lands above the water spread of this tank are given out for cultivation by the Revenue Department. There are many tanks in the

District-

district in which the cultivation extends for some length even. cs within the water-spread of tanks. In this case too the Revenue Survey Department may have assessed some lands which are below the water spread of the tanks & on application the Deputy Commissioner might have given the lands for cultivation. The question is purely a Revenue one. I beg therefore to be informed on what points I have to report in this case.—

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt. Servt.

Executive Engineer
Tumkur Division.

1896

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No. 5633

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

Ye Supdg: Engineer
Tumkur Dn

Dated Bangalore 2-11-96
Oct/96.

Despatched

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

E/Gi/ No 359 of 25 ultimo ~~with~~: returning this Office Endorsement No 5432 of 9th idem, & enquiring on what points he has to report in the case.

~~Returns the above endorsement & Requests the Engr~~ to report on the points raised by the petitioners regarding the tank itself & to note generally what state of repair the tank is in &

whether it fills & supplies as much land as stated &c.
This office will then be in a position to address the Deputy
Comr fully in the matter.

11. The petition is herewith forwarded to be returned
with the report called for.

Asst Chief Surv

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

From

The Executive Engineer
Civil Works Division

To

The Superintending Engineer
Eastern Circle

Dated 13th September 1897.

Despatched

Received

General No. 368
No.

Enclosure No. one

Replies No 3266 dt 23.9.97

REGD. No 3862
15 SEP 1897
M.YSORE P.W.D.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE
EASTERN CIRCLE

18

Reply the petitioners that the lands in question are reported by the Ex. Tahsildar to be above the tank bund and not within the limits.

JMV
12/9/97

Before replying as above submit a further report from Ex. Ponnampet as the Ex. should have also furnished the information asked for in this office No 5633 dated 6/9/96 and ask him to furnish it now also.

If the lands in question is found necessary to remain the lands should be given up by the Amildar for cultivation. The Ex. should therefore report also whether the lands in question were sold by public auction and if not under what conditions they have been given up.

JMV 14/9/97 camp Chosgal

Re-submitted the Chief Engineers Memo No 5432 dated 9-10-96 on the Petition of Rajeta of Thodagahully village praying that the bed of their village tank should not be given for cultivation as the lands referred to are included in the Survey numbers given for Tank bed.

No 368
16.

Executive Engineer's Office
Tumkur Division

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Dated 13th September 1897.

From

The Executive Engineer
Tumkur Division

To,

The Superintending Engineer
Eastern Circle.

Sir,

I have the honor to return the Chief Engineer's Memo No. 5432 D/9-10-96.

The No. 4 Sub Division Officer in his No. 326 D/2-9-97 reports that the lands referred to are included by the Revenue Survey Department in the survey number given for tank bed but that they are above the water spread of the tank at present water level.—

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt. Servt.

Executive Engineer
Tumkur Division

RECEIVED 15 SEP 1897
MYSORE P.W.D.
EASTERN CIRCLE

Dated 25th September 97

Received 15/9/97

To,

C Bown Colonel Esquire
the Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore, Public Works
Department
Bangalore.

Vide No 5432 of 9-10-97

Most Respected Sir,

We, the undersigned and the inhabitants of Kodagahaly village Amirtoor Hobli, Kunigal Taluk in Tumkur District most respectfully beg your honor to take into your kind Consideration the following few lines —

I Our Kodagahally is a large village Containing a population of abant 1500 whose livlihood is entirely based upon cultivating purposes. In order to carry out the same, your honor knows well, cattle, Sheep, and goats are quite indispensable to a

possesses about 400 cattle, 400 Sheep, and 200 goats.

II To the western direction of the said village there is a Shallow tank whose water is constantly used for drinking purposes of the villagers and those of cattle, Sheep, and goats. Moreover the tank has two Sluices and commands nearly 300 acres of wet land and 50 acres of garden. The Government have, every year, been collecting about Rs 2000 Candayem being the amount of assessment from the land-holders below the tank. Water is constantly flowing from both the Sluices throughout whole year. the tank is, therefore, one of the most important ones in the District.

III In the month of October last, one Venkatagowda, Patail of Amurtoor one Timmegowda bin Javaregowda of Kodagahally, one Timmegowda bin

one Hasige Srikantiah and one Ganigara Chikkasetty applied for for cultivating purposes a few acres of land in the bed of the above tank. Within one months period after their Darkhast, we came to understand that the lands were applied and so requested the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur District through a petition that the land applied for in the bed of the tank should not be granted for cultivation, Since it is a Supporter of thousands of cattle for which we were not fortunate enough to be favored with a reply and even now we doubt of the fact whether the land in question has been granted or not.

IV The tank being Situated just in the center of a few bordering villages, viz Amurtoor, Yachamahally, Chinnahally, Subramanee, Devarayana

the cattle, Sheep, and goats of all the above mentioned villages go to the bed of Kodagahally tank and graze them drinking water therein. If the land were to let for cultivation the cattle of the said villages have Seriously to Suffer and we will no more be husbandmen.

V. As above Stated the tank is very Shallow and if the bed of the tank were granted for cultivation, Several feeder channels and canals will be dug in the middle of the tank and the dirts and other yakkalu of these canals wash away themselves to the tank, and within a period of 2 years the tank will be levelled to the ground the ruin of which a Serious and dead loss to the Govt. Now, the said Potail Venkatayeeda and others have opened a few canals in the bed of the tank and they Strongly oppose our cattle to which

VI Mr. V. Parthasarthy Iyengar, the then Amildar of Ranigal Taluk and who is now in charge of Kolakalmuru in Chitaldroog District received some bribe from Potail Venkatagowda, Timmegowda, and others and reported that the villagers do, not at all, raise any objection to the grant of the lands. One Tyamagondu Subbaiya, Sheikdar of Amirtoor Hobli bundled up a false correspondence in favor of the grant of the land which we are, not at all being given to understand even in our dreams. It is only after the said Venkatagowda and others dug the canals in the bed of the tank, we came to know that they are done so for cultivation.

VII The land is question was, in the year 1891, applied for for cultivation by one Sri Siddagiri Shama Iyengar a native of Amirtur and

in charge of Kunigal Taluk personally inspected the land and considering the ruinous condition of tank, if the applied land is grant, rejected the Darkhast of the said individual. Mr. B. K. Venkatavaradaraiyar who was ~~the~~ then Deputy Commissioner of the district concurred with the worthy opinion of the Amildar. If the Government are solely based upon the collection of revenue even under such items without caring for the good of the people, we are ready to pay the amount of Sundayem which will be collected from the holders in proportion to our cattle.

VIII From the Commencement of period the land was applied for, we represented our grievances and difficulties in about 6 reminding petitions to the Deputy Commissioner of the District for all of which we were not at all cared for.

IX Now we, therefore, request that

direct the Executive Engineer Tumkur District to personally inspect the tank and after the receipt of his report anent the condition and State of the tank, to recommend to the government that the land should, under no circumstances, be let out for cultivating purposes. In spite of all requests, if we are overlooked, we will be undone.

We beg to remain

Most Respected Sir,

Your most obedients and humble Servants

No 5432 of 9-10-96
Forwarded to the Executive
Engineer, Sumkur Division
for info and return

Chief Engineer
of Mysore

Copied in 357

25/10/96

Copied in 650

25/10/96

RECEIVED
320
12-10-96

6889

189 7.

No. 3266

From

Superintending Engineer,
C. Circle,
Mysore, P. W. D.

To

The Spr. Engineer,
Tumkur Dn.

Dated 23 Sept. 1897.

Despatched

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Rho. No. 588 d/17.8.98

His No. 368 of 13th instant, returning the Ch. Engr's Endorsement No. 5432 d/9.10.96 on the Petn of the ryots of Kodagahalli village ~~praying that their village tank~~ reporting that the lands referred to have been included by the Revenue Survey Deptt, but that they are above water spread of tank at present weir level.

Points out to the Spr. Engr. that he has not furnished the information asked for by the Chief Engr.

in his No. 5633 of 2nd Nov. '96, regarding the tank itself, its state of repair &c. This information should be furnished now at least. —

II. If the weir of the tank is to be raised it is necessary to resume the lands given out by the Amildar for cultivation. The Exec. Engr. is therefore requested to report ~~whether~~ whether the lands in question were sold by public auction, and if not on what condition they have been given out. —

Offg. Supdg. Engr.